P.R. No. 1689/06
Presented by Shri Saurabh Bhawan
dated 16/06/06

(1)

(3)

Single Bench

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR, CHHATTISGARH

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 109 OF 2006

Arising out of
IN THE MATTER OF: -

WRIT PETITION NO.942 OF 2006 BETWEEN

Sundar Lal Markam PETITIONER

AND

State of Chhattisgarh and others RESPONDENTS

SUNDAR LAL MARKAM,
SON OF AMAR LAL MARKAM,
AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS,
ASSISTANT ENGINEER (Suspended),
OFFICE OF THE ENGINEER-IN-CHIEF,
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT, RAIPUR,
R/O HIG-120, VIJETA COMPLEX,
NEW RAJENDRA NAGAR, RAIPUR,
DISTRICT: RAIPUR, CHHATTISGARH. PETITIONER

VERSUS

1. P. JOY UMMEN,
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
GOVERNMENT OF CHHATTISGARH,
MANTRALAYA, D.K.S. BHAWAN,
RAIPUR, CHHATTISGARH.

2. G.S. SOLANKI,
ENGINEER-IN-CHIEF,
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
GOVERNMENT OF CHHATTISGARH,
RAIPUR, CHHATTISGARH. RESPONDENTS/
CONTEMNORS

CONTEMPT PETITION UNDER SECTION 12 OF THE CONTEMPT OF COURTS ACT, 1973, READ WITH ARTICLE 215 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

(18)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक Cont.P.108/06 **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

Cont.Pet.No.108/06, 109/06 & 110/06 and
MCC No.301/06, 302/06 & 303/06

10-7-2006

Shri Sanjay K. Agrawal, counsel for the petitioners/ respondents.

Shri Prashant Mishra, Addl. Advocate General for the State/applicant.

Heard.

This common order shall dispose of Contempt Petition Nos. 108/2006, 109/2006 & 110/2006 and M.C.C. Nos.301/2006, 302/2006 & 303/2006.

It is pointed out that while disposing of writ petitions filed by the petitioners/respondents, this Court directed the State of Chhattisgarh and the authorities concerned to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of six weeks from the date of production of the representation. It is further pointed out that in compliance of the order passed by this Court, the petitioners submitted their representations before the concerned authorities, but the same have not been decided till date.

It is pointed out that the State has also filed MCC for extension of time in deciding the representation of the petitioner herein on the ground that due to bona fide reasons, the same could

(पीछे देखिये)

(10)

C-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

-2-

not be decided within the stipulated period. It is stated that there is no mala fide.

The representation ought to have been decided. However, in the ends of justice time is granted.

Let the representation now be decided by the competent authority within four weeks from the date of receipt/production of the copy of this order.

In that view of the matter, Contempt Petition Nos. 108/2006, 109/2006 & 110/2006 and M.C.C. Nos.301/2006, 302/2006 & 303/2006 stand disposed of at this stage.

Copy of this order be placed in Contempt Petition Nos. 108/2006, 109/2006 & 110/2006 and M.C.C. Nos.301/2006, 302/2006 & 303/2006.

c.c.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

Gowri/H

(पीछे देखिये)

13.3.06